

March 6, 2007

ADDENDUM NO. 1

FOR THE

CIVIL ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR WATSON COMMUNITY PARK
For
A MASTER CONSULTANT AGREEMENT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$500,000
Dated February 26, 2007

The following questions have been asked regarding the above referenced Request for Qualifications. Answers (in italics) follow each question. These clarifications, revisions, additions, and/or deletions are hereby made a part of and incorporated into the Request for Qualifications.

1. The SOQ states that the City has contracted with URS to prepare the Preliminary Waste Characterization Study, Removal Action Plan, and to assist with the management of the removal construction project. Is the City excluding URS from submitting a SOQ for preparation of the construction documents and providing assistance during the bid and construction assistance? If the answer is no, do you think URS will be submitting an SOQ? If the answer is yes, will URS provide construction management services for the project, and the selected design firm provide bid assistance and construction quality control oversight?

The City is not excluding URS from submitting an SOQ for the subject RFQ. The City does not know whether URS will be submitting an SOQ for this construction document phase of the work.

The current master agreement between the City and URS includes limited services during construction related to developing the close-out report (i.e. dust monitoring, soil testing, etc.). The successful consultant for the current RFQ will provide construction document development based upon the RAP, bid assistance, construction quality control oversight, and assist the City in construction management.

2. Based on our review of the RFQ and the City's January 24, 2007 Watson Park - Status Update, our interpretation of the proposed project schedule is as follows:

March 2007	City submits draft RAP to DTSC
May 2007	DTSC approves RAP
May 21, 2007	Start Date of Agreement for engineering services to provide design documents
July 2007	Remediation work begins at Watson Park

Based on this schedule, there would be approximately 1 month to accomplish the following tasks:

Prepare design documents

Submit design documents to DTSC for review and approval

Obtain necessary permits for construction

Prepare bid documents, solicit bids, and award contract for construction of the project

Subject: ADDENDUM NO. 1

March 6, 2007

Page 2

Please clarify that this is the intended schedule for the project and that our assumptions regarding the tasks required prior to implementing the remedy are correct.

The schedule for completing the work will be adjusted once the RAP is approved by DTSC.

3. The schedule states that the draft RAP will be submitted to the DTSC in March. Will it be available to us for review prior to the SOQ submittal deadline? If not, can the City provide us with information on the remedy that will be recommended in the draft RAP?

The RAP will not be available to review prior to the SOQ submittal deadline.

Currently, the City's preferred alternative and that recommended to DTSC is cap in place with some consolidation. This may involve extensive grading on-site in order to avoid importing or exporting soil. In addition, since the project site is in the 100 year flood plain, hydraulic studies may need to be prepared to amend the FEMA map. Additional studies (i.e. biological, etc.) may need to be prepared to supplement CEQA clearance for the RAP.

4. The SOQ form provided with the RFQ requests information on subconsultants. Will we need to provide a landscape architect to design the selected park layout (i.e., Layout A, B, or C) or does the City have a consultant already on board. Will the City participate in a public bid process to select a contractor for the construction of the project or can we propose a design-build team to expedite the process?

The park improvements phase of work is not included in the current RFQ; therefore, a Landscape Architect will not be required. See the answer to question #4 for the clarification of the scope of work and identification of the types of subconsultants that may be necessary.

The current RFQ does not provide for the option of design/build.

5. Can we contact City staff to ask if we can use them as references?

You may send City staff an e-mail stating that you are submitting a Statement of Qualifications in response to an RFQ for Civil Engineering Consultant Services for Watson Community Park and would like to use them as a reference. Ask them to respond via e-mail with their permission to do so.